

Approximate symmetry reduction approach: infinite series reductions to the KdV-Burgers equation

Xiaoyu Jiao¹, Ruoxia Yao^{1,2,3}, Shunli Zhang⁴ and S. Y. Lou^{1,2}

¹*Department of Physics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, China*

²*Department of Physics, Ningbo University, Ningbo, 315211, China*

³*School of Computer Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an, 710062, China*

⁴*Department of Mathematics, Northwest University, Xi'an, 710069, China*

Abstract

For weak dispersion and weak dissipation cases, the (1+1)-dimensional KdV-Burgers equation is investigated in terms of approximate symmetry reduction approach. The formal coherence of similarity reduction solutions and similarity reduction equations of different orders enables series reduction solutions. For weak dissipation case, zero-order similarity solutions satisfy the Painlevé II, Painlevé I and Jacobi elliptic function equations. For weak dispersion case, zero-order similarity solutions are in the form of Kummer, Airy and hyperbolic tangent functions. Higher order similarity solutions can be obtained by solving linear ordinary differential equations.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Jr

Keywords: KdV-Burgers equation, approximate symmetry reduction, series reduction solutions

I. INTRODUCTION

Nonlinear problems arise in many fields of science and engineering. Lie group theory [1, 2, 3] greatly simplifies many nonlinear partial differential equations. Exact analytical solutions are nonetheless difficult to study in general. Perturbation theory [4, 5, 6] was thus developed and it plays an essential role in nonlinear science, especially in finding approximate analytical solutions to perturbed partial differential equations.

The integration of Lie group theory and perturbation theory yields two distinct approximate symmetry reduction methods. The first method due to Baikov, et al [7, 8] generalizes symmetry group generators to perturbation forms. For the second method proposed by Fushchich, et al [9], dependent variables are expanded in perturbation series and approximate symmetry of the original equation is decomposed into exact symmetry of the system resulted from perturbation. The second method is superior to the first one from the comparison in Refs. [10, 11].

The well known Korteweg-de Vries-Burgers (KdV-Burgers) equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x + \mu u_{xxx} + \nu u_{xx} = 0, \quad (1)$$

with μ and ν constant coefficients, is widely used in the many physical fields especially in fluid dynamics. The effects of nonlinearity ($6uu_x$), dispersion (μu_{xxx}) and dissipation (νu_{xx}) are incorporated in this equation which simulates the propagation of waves on an elastic tube filled with a viscous fluid [12], and the flow of liquids containing gas bubbles [13] and turbulence [14, 15], etc.

Johnson [12] inspected the travelling wave solutions to the weak dissipation ($\nu \ll 1$) KdV-Burgers equation (1) in the phase plane by a perturbation method and developed formal asymptotic expansion for the solution. Tanh function method was applied to Eq. (1) in the limit of weak dispersion ($\mu \ll 1$) in a perturbative way [16]. In Refs. [17, 18], perturbation analysis was also applied to the perturbed KdV equations

$$\eta_t + 6\eta\eta_x + \eta_{xxx} + \alpha c_1\eta_{xx} + \alpha c_2\eta_{xxx} + \alpha c_3(\eta\eta_x)_x = 0, \quad \alpha \ll 1, \quad (2)$$

and

$$u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx} = \epsilon\alpha u + \epsilon\beta u_{xx}, \quad \epsilon \ll 1, \quad (3)$$

respectively.

Eq. (1) can also be manipulated by means of approximate symmetry reduction approach. Section II and section III are devoted to applying approximate symmetry reduction approach to Eq. (1) under the case of weak dissipation ($\nu \ll 1$) and weak dispersion ($\mu \ll 1$), respectively. Section IV is conclusion and discussion of the results.

II. APPROXIMATE SYMMETRY REDUCTION APPROACH TO WEAK DISSIPATION KDV-BURGERS EQUATION

According to the perturbation theory, solutions to perturbed partial differential equations can be expressed as a series containing a small parameter. Specifically, we suppose that the weak dissipation ($\nu \ll 1$) KdV-Burgers equation (1) has the solution

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nu^k u_k, \quad (4)$$

where u_k are functions of x and t , and solve the following system

$$u_{k,t} + 6 \sum_{i=0}^k u_{k-i} u_{i,x} + \mu u_{k,xxx} + u_{k-1,xx} = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (5)$$

with $u_{-1} = 0$, which is obtained by inserting Eq. (4) into Eq. (1) and vanishing the coefficients of different powers of ν .

The next crucial step is to study symmetry reduction of the above system via the Lie symmetry approach [19]. To that end, we construct the Lie point symmetries

$$\sigma_k = Xu_{kx} + Tu_{kt} - U_k, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots), \quad (6)$$

where X , T and U_k are functions with respect to x , t and u_i , ($i = 0, 1, \dots$). The linearized equations for Eq. (5) are:

$$\sigma_{k,t} + 6 \sum_{i=0}^k (\sigma_{k-i} u_{i,x} + u_{k-i} \sigma_{i,x}) + \mu \sigma_{k,xxx} + \sigma_{k-1,xx} = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (7)$$

with $\sigma_{-1} = 0$. Eq. (7) means that Eq. (5) is invariant under the transformations $u_k \rightarrow u_k + \varepsilon \sigma_k$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) with an infinitesimal parameter ε .

There are infinite number of equations in Eqs. (5) and (7) and infinite number of arguments in X , T and U_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots$). To simplify the problem, we begin the discussion from finite number of equations.

Confining the range of k to $\{k|k = 0, 1, 2\}$ in Eqs. (5), (6) and (7), we see that X , T , U_0 , U_1 and U_2 are functions with respect to x , t , u_0 , u_1 and u_2 . In this case, the determining equations can be obtained by substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (7), eliminating $u_{0,t}$, $u_{1,t}$ and $u_{2,t}$ in terms of Eq. (5) and vanishing all coefficients of different partial derivatives of u_0 , u_1 and u_2 . Some of the determining equations read

$$T_x = T_{u_0} = T_{u_1} = T_{u_2} = 0,$$

from which we have $T = T(t)$. Considering this condition, we choose the simplest equations for X

$$X_{u_0} = X_{u_1} = X_{u_2} = 0,$$

from which we have $X = X(x, t)$. Considering this condition, we choose the simplest equations for U_0 , U_1 and U_2

$$U_{0,xu_2} = U_{0,u_0u_0} = U_{0,u_0u_1} = U_{0,u_0u_2} = U_{0,u_1u_1} = U_{0,u_1u_2} = U_{0,u_2u_2} = 0,$$

$$U_{1,u_0u_0} = U_{1,u_0u_1} = U_{1,u_0u_2} = U_{1,u_1u_1} = U_{1,u_1u_2} = U_{1,u_2u_2} = 0,$$

$$U_{2,u_0u_0} = U_{2,u_0u_1} = U_{2,u_0u_2} = U_{2,u_1u_1} = U_{2,u_1u_2} = U_{2,u_2u_2} = 0,$$

of which the solutions are

$$U_0 = F_1(x, t)u_0 + F_2(x, t)u_1 + F_3(t)u_2 + F_4(x, t),$$

$$U_1 = F_5(x, t)u_0 + F_6(x, t)u_1 + F_7(x, t)u_2 + F_8(x, t),$$

$$U_2 = F_9(x, t)u_0 + F_{10}(x, t)u_1 + F_{11}(x, t)u_2 + F_{12}(x, t).$$

Under these relations, the determining equations are simplified to

$$X_{xx} = F_2 = F_3 = F_5 = F_7 = F_8 = F_9 = F_{10} = F_{12} = F_{1,x} = F_{4,xx} = F_{4,t} = F_{6,x} = F_{11,x} = 0,$$

$$T_t = 3X_x, \quad X_t = 6F_4, \quad F_{1,t} = -6F_{4,x}, \quad F_{6,t} = -6F_{4,x}, \quad F_{11,t} = -6F_{4,x},$$

$$T_t = X_x - F_1, \quad T_t = 2X_x - F_1 + F_6, \quad T_t = X_x + F_{11} - 2F_6, \quad T_t = 2X_x - F_6 + F_{11},$$

which provide us with

$$X = 6at + cx + x_0, \quad T = 3ct + t_0, \quad U_0 = -2cu_0 + a, \quad U_1 = -cu_1, \quad U_2 = 0,$$

where a , c , x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants.

Similarly, limiting the range of k to $\{k|k = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$ in Eqs. (5), (6) and (7) where X, T, U_0, U_1, U_2 and U_3 are functions with respect to x, t, u_0, u_1, u_2 and u_3 , we repeat the calculation as before and obtain

$$X = 6at + cx + x_0, \quad T = 3ct + t_0, \quad U_0 = -2cu_0 + a, \quad U_1 = -cu_1, \quad U_2 = 0, \quad U_3 = cu_3,$$

where a, c, x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants.

With more similar calculation considered, we see that X, T and U_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) are formally coherent, i.e.,

$$X = 6at + cx + x_0, \quad T = 3ct + t_0, \quad U_k = (k - 2)cu_k + a\delta_{k,0}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (8)$$

where a, c, x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants. The notation $\delta_{k,0}$ satisfying $\delta_{0,0} = 1$ and $\delta_{k,0} = 0$ ($k \neq 0$) is adopted in the following text. Subsequently, solving the characteristic equations

$$\frac{dx}{X} = \frac{dt}{T}, \quad \frac{du_0}{U_0} = \frac{dt}{T}, \quad \frac{du_1}{U_1} = \frac{dt}{T}, \quad \dots, \quad \frac{du_k}{U_k} = \frac{dt}{T}, \quad \dots \quad (9)$$

leads to the similarity solutions to Eq. (5) which can be distinguished in the following two subcases.

A. Symmetry reduction of the Painlevé II solutions

When $c \neq 0$, for brevity of the results, we rewrite the constants a, x_0 and t_0 as ca, cx_0 and ct_0 , respectively. Solving $\frac{dx}{X} = \frac{dt}{T}$ in Eq. (9) leads to the invariant

$$I(x, t) = \xi = (x - 3at + x_0 - 3at_0)(3t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (10)$$

In the same way, we get other invariants

$$I_0(x, t, u_0) = P_0 = \frac{1}{2}(3t + t_0)^{\frac{2}{3}}(2u_0 - a) \quad (11)$$

and

$$I_k(x, t, u_k) = P_k = u_k(3t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{3}(k-2)}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (12)$$

from $\frac{du_0}{U_0} = \frac{dt}{T}$ and $\frac{du_k}{U_k} = \frac{dt}{T}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) respectively. Viewing P_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) as functions of ξ , we get the similarity solutions to Eq. (5)

$$u_k = (3t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{3}(k-2)} P_k(\xi) + \frac{1}{2}a\delta_{k,0}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (13)$$

with the similarity variable

$$\xi = (x - 3at + x_0 - 3at_0)(3t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{3}}. \quad (14)$$

Accordingly, the series reduction solution to Eq. (1) is derived

$$u = \frac{a}{2} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nu^k (3t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{3}(k-2)} P_k(\xi), \quad (15)$$

and the related similarity reduction equations are

$$\mu P_{k,\xi\xi\xi} + 6 \sum_{i=0}^k P_{k-i} P_{i,\xi} - \xi P_{k,\xi} + (k-2)P_k + P_{k-1,\xi\xi} = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (16)$$

with $P_{-1} = 0$. When $k = 0$, Eq. (16) is equivalent to the Painlevé II type equation. The n th ($n > 0$) similarity reduction equation is actually a third order *linear* ordinary differential equation of P_n when the previous $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{n-1}$ are known, since Eq. (16) is just

$$\mu P_{k,\xi\xi\xi} + 6(P_0 P_{k,\xi} + P_k P_{0,\xi}) - \xi P_{k,\xi} + (k-2)P_k = f_k(\xi), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (16')$$

where f_k is a only function of $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{k-1}\}$

$$f_k(\xi) = -6 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{k-i} P_{i,\xi} - P_{k-1,\xi\xi}.$$

B. Symmetry reduction of the Painlevé I solutions

When $c = 0$ and $t_0 \neq 0$, we rewrite a and x_0 as at_0 and $x_0 t_0$, respectively. The similarity solutions are

$$u_k = (at + \frac{x_0}{6})\delta_{k,0} + P_k(\xi), \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (17)$$

with the similarity variable $\xi = -x + 3at^2 + x_0 t$. Hence, the series reduction solution to Eq. (1) is

$$u = at + \frac{x_0}{6} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \nu^k P_k(\xi), \quad (18)$$

in which $P_k(\xi)$ satisfies

$$\mu P_{k,\xi\xi\xi} + 3 \sum_{i=0}^k P_{k-i} P_i - P_{k-1,\xi} - a\delta_{k,0}\xi + A_k = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (19)$$

where $P_{-1} = 0$ and A_k are arbitrary integral constants.

When $k = 0$, Eq. (19) is equivalent to the Painlevé I type equation provided that $a \neq 0$.

When $k = 0$, $a = 0$ and $A_0 = \frac{4}{3}\mu^2 p_1^4(m^2 - 1 - m^4)$, Eq. (19) can be solved by the Jacobi elliptic function,

$$P_0 = \frac{2}{3}\mu p_1^2(1 - 2m^2) + 2\mu m^2 p_1^2 \text{cn}^2(p_1 \xi + p_2, m), \quad (20)$$

where p_1 , p_2 and m are arbitrary constants.

When $k > 0$, an equivalent form of Eq. (19) is

$$\mu P_{k,\xi\xi} + 6P_k P_0 = g_k(\xi), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (19')$$

where $g_k(\xi)$ is a function of $\{P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{k-1}\}$ as follows

$$g_k(\xi) = -3 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{k-i} P_i + P_{k-1,\xi} - A_k.$$

From Eq. (19'), we see that Eq. (19) is a second order linear ordinary differential equation of P_k and can be integrated out step by step when $a = 0$. The results read

$$P_k = P_{0,\xi} [C_k + \mu^{-1} \int P_{0,\xi}^{-2} (B_k + \int P_{0,\xi} g_k d\xi) d\xi], \quad (21)$$

with arbitrary integral constants B_k and C_k .

III. APPROXIMATE SYMMETRY REDUCTION APPROACH TO WEAK DISPERSION KDV-BURGERS EQUATION

We search for series reduction solutions to weak dispersion ($\mu \ll 1$) KdV-Burgers equation (1). The process is similar to the section II. A system of partial differential equations

$$u_{k,t} + 6 \sum_{i=0}^k u_{k-i} u_{i,x} + \nu u_{k,xx} + u_{k-1,xxx} = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (22)$$

with $u_{-1} = 0$, is obtained by plugging the perturbation series solution

$$u = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k u_k, \quad (23)$$

into Eq. (1) and vanishing the coefficients of different powers of μ .

It is easily seen that the linearized equations related to Eq. (22) are

$$\sigma_{k,t} + 6 \sum_{i=0}^k (\sigma_{k-i} u_{i,x} + u_{k-i} \sigma_{i,x}) + \nu \sigma_{k,xx} + \sigma_{k-1,xxx} = 0, \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (24)$$

with $\sigma_{-1} = 0$.

The Lie point symmetries (6) satisfy the linearized equations (24) under the approximate equations (22). Restricting the range of k to $\{k|k = 0, 1, 2\}$ in Eqs. (6), (22) and (24), we see that X , T , U_0 , U_1 and U_2 are functions with respect to x , t , u_0 , u_1 and u_2 . The determining equations are derived by substituting Eq. (6) into Eq. (24), eliminating $u_{0,t}$, $u_{1,t}$ and $u_{2,t}$ in terms of Eq. (22) and vanishing coefficients of different partial derivatives of u_0 , u_1 and u_2 . Some of the determining equations are

$$T_x = T_{u_0} = T_{u_1} = T_{u_2} = 0,$$

from which we get $T = T(t)$. Considering this condition, the simplest equations for X in the determining equations are

$$X_{u_0} = X_{u_1} = X_{u_2} = 0,$$

from which we get $X = X(x, t)$. Considering this condition, we select the simplest equations for U_0 and U_1

$$U_{0,u_0u_0} = U_{0,a_1} = U_{0,a_2} = 0,$$

$$U_{1,xu_0} = U_{1,u_0u_0} = U_{1,u_0u_1} = U_{1,u_1u_1} = U_{1,u_2} = 0,$$

with the solution $U_0 = F_1(x, t)u_0 + F_2(x, t)$ and $U_1 = F_3(t)u_0 + F_4(x, t)u_1 + F_5(x, t)$. From the reduced determining equations, the simplest equations for U_2 read

$$U_{2,u_0u_0} = U_{2,u_0u_1} = U_{2,u_0u_2} = U_{2,u_1u_1} = U_{2,u_1u_2} = U_{2,u_2u_2} = 0,$$

leading to $U_2 = F_6(x, t)u_0 + F_7(x, t)u_1 + F_8(x, t)u_2 + F_9(x, t)$.

Combined with these conditions, the determining equations are simplified to

$$X_{xx} = F_3 = F_5 = F_6 = F_7 = F_9 = F_{1,x} = F_{2,xxx} = F_{4,x} = F_{8,x} = 0,$$

$$T_t = 2X_x, \quad X_t = 6F_2, \quad F_{1,t} = -6F_{2,x}, \quad F_{2,t} = -\nu F_{2,xx}, \quad F_{4,t} = -6F_{2,x}, \quad F_{8,t} = -6F_{2,x},$$

$$T_t = X_x - F_1, \quad T_t = X_x - 2F_4 + F_8, \quad T_t = 3X_x - F_1 + F_4, \quad T_t = 3X_x - F_4 + F_8,$$

It is easily seen that

$$X = 6at + cx + x_0, \quad T = 2ct + t_0, \quad U_0 = -cu_0 + a, \quad U_1 = -2cu_1, \quad U_2 = -3cu_2,$$

where a , c , x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants.

In like manner, we obtain

$$X = 6at + cx + x_0, \quad T = 2ct + t_0, \quad U_0 = -cu_0 + a, \quad U_1 = -2cu_1, \quad U_2 = -3cu_2, \quad U_3 = -4cu_3,$$

where a, c, x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants.

Repeating similar calculation several times, we summarize the solutions to the determining equations

$$X = 6at + cx + x_0, \quad T = 2ct + t_0, \quad U_k = -(k+1)cu_k + a\delta_{k,0}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (25)$$

where a, c, x_0 and t_0 are arbitrary constants. The similarity solutions to Eq. (22) from solving the characteristic equations (9) are discussed in the following two subcases.

A. Symmetry reduction of the Kummer function solutions

When $c \neq 0$, for brevity of the results, we rewrite the constants a, x_0 and t_0 as ca, cx_0 and ct_0 , respectively. Solving $\frac{dx}{X} = \frac{dt}{T}$ in Eq. (9) results in the invariant

$$I(x, t) = \xi = (x - 6at - 6at_0 + x_0)(2t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (26)$$

Likewise, we get other invariants

$$I_0(x, t, u_0) = P_0 = (2t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}}(u_0 - a) \quad (27)$$

and

$$I_k(x, t, u_k) = P_k = u_k(2t + t_0)^{\frac{1}{2}(k+1)} \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (28)$$

from $\frac{du_0}{U_0} = \frac{dt}{T}$ and $\frac{du_k}{U_k} = \frac{dt}{T}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) respectively. Considering P_k ($k = 0, 1, \dots$) as functions of ξ , we get the similarity solutions to Eq. (22)

$$u_k = (2t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+1)} P_k(\xi) + a\delta_{k,0}, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (29)$$

with the similarity variable $\xi = (x - 6at - 6at_0 + x_0)(2t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and the series reduction solution to Eq. (1) is

$$u = a + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k (2t + t_0)^{-\frac{1}{2}(k+1)} P_k(\xi), \quad (30)$$

where $P_k(\xi)$ are subject to

$$\nu P_{k,\xi\xi} + 6 \sum_{i=0}^k P_{k-i} P_{i,\xi} - \xi P_{k,\xi} - (k+1)P_k + P_{k-1,\xi\xi\xi} = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (31)$$

with $P_{-1} = 0$.

When $k = 0$, Eq. (31) has the Kummer function solution

$$P_0 = \frac{(3C_1 - 1)\nu[3C_1C_2K_1(\frac{3}{2}(1 - C_1), \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\xi^2}{2\nu}) - 2K_2(\frac{3}{2}(1 - C_1), \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\xi^2}{2\nu})]}{6\xi[C_2K_1(\frac{1}{2}(1 - 3C_1), \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\xi^2}{2\nu}) + K_2(\frac{1}{2}(1 - 3C_1), \frac{3}{2}, \frac{\xi^2}{2\nu})]} + \frac{C_1\nu}{\xi}, \quad (32)$$

where C_1 and C_2 are arbitrary constants, and the two types of Kummer functions $K_1(p, q, z)$ and $K_2(p, q, z)$ solve the differential equation

$$zy''(z) + (q - z)y'(z) - py(z) = 0.$$

When ($k > 0$), we rearrange the terms in Eq. (31) as

$$\nu P_{k,\xi\xi} + 6(P_0P_{k,\xi} + P_kP_{0,\xi}) - \xi P_{k,\xi} - (k+1)P_k = -6 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{k-i}P_{i,\xi} - P_{k-1,\xi\xi}, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (33)$$

which is a second order linear ordinary differential equation of P_k when the previous $P_0, P_1, \dots, P_{k-1}$ are known.

B. Symmetry reduction of Airy function and hyperbolic tangent function solutions

When $c = 0$ and $t_0 \neq 0$, we rewrite the constants a and x_0 as at_0 and x_0t_0 , respectively. It is easily seen that the similarity solutions are

$$u_k = (at + \frac{x_0}{6})\delta_{k,0} + P_k(\xi), \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (34)$$

with the similarity variable $\xi = -x + 3at^2 + x_0t$, and the series reduction solution to Eq. (1) is

$$u = at + \frac{x_0}{6} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mu^k P_k(\xi), \quad (35)$$

with $P_k(\xi)$ satisfying

$$\nu P_{k,\xi\xi} - 3 \sum_{i=0}^k P_{k-i}P_{i,\xi} - P_{k-1,\xi\xi} + a\delta_{k,0}\xi + A_k = 0, \quad (k = 0, 1, \dots) \quad (36)$$

where $P_{-1} = 0$ and A_k are integral constants.

When $k = 0$ and $a \equiv -b$, we get the Airy function solution to Eq. (36)

$$P_0 = \frac{(3b\nu)^{\frac{1}{3}}[C_1\text{Ai}(1, 3^{\frac{1}{3}}(b\nu)^{-\frac{2}{3}}(A_0 - b\xi)) + \text{Bi}(1, 3^{\frac{1}{3}}(b\nu)^{-\frac{2}{3}}(A_0 - b\xi))]}{3[C_1\text{Ai}(3^{\frac{1}{3}}(b\nu)^{-\frac{2}{3}}(A_0 - b\xi)) + \text{Bi}(3^{\frac{1}{3}}(b\nu)^{-\frac{2}{3}}(A_0 - b\xi))]}, \quad (37)$$

where C_1 is an arbitrary constant. The Airy wave functions $\text{Ai}(z)$ and $\text{Bi}(z)$ are linearly independent solutions for $y(z)$ in the equation $y''(z) - zy(z) = 0$. $\text{Ai}(n, z)$ and $\text{Bi}(n, z)$ are the n th derivatives of $\text{Ai}(z)$ and $\text{Bi}(z)$ evaluated at z , respectively.

The hyperbolic tangent function solution of traveling wave form

$$P_0 = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}p \tanh\left[\frac{\sqrt{3}}{\nu}p(\xi + d)\right], \quad (38)$$

with d an arbitrary constant, can be obtained from $a = 0$ and $p = \sqrt{A_0}$.

When $k > 0$, an equivalent form of Eq. (36) is

$$\nu P_{k,\xi} - 6P_0P_k = g_k(\xi), \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (36')$$

where

$$g_k(\xi) = 3 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} P_{k-i}P_i + P_{k-1,\xi\xi} - A_k.$$

From Eq. (36'), it is easily seen that the k th similarity reduction equation in Eq. (36) is a first order linear ordinary differential equation of P_k . Furthermore, all equations in Eq. (36') can be solved step by step. The results read

$$P_k = \exp\left(\frac{6}{\nu} \int P_0 d\xi\right) \left[\frac{1}{\nu} \int g_k \exp\left(-\frac{6}{\nu} \int P_0 d\xi\right) d\xi + B_k \right], \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad (39)$$

where B_k are arbitrary integral constants.

IV. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In summary, by applying the approximate symmetry reduction approach to (1+1)-dimensional KdV-Burgers equation under the condition of weak dispersion and weak dissipation, we have found that the similarity reduction solutions and similarity reduction equations of different orders are coincident in their forms. Therefore, we summarize the series reduction solutions and general formulae for the similarity equations.

For weak dissipation case, zero-order similarity solutions are equivalent to Painlevé II, Painlevé I type and Jacobi elliptic function solutions. For weak dispersion case, zero-order similarity solutions are in the form of Kummer function, Airy function and hyperbolic tangent function solutions.

k -order similarity reduction equations are linear ordinary differential equations with respect to $P_k(\xi)$. Especially, for the period solutions (expressed by Jacobi elliptic functions)

with solitary waves as a special case under weak dissipation, Airy function and hyperbolic tangent function solutions under weak dispersion, all the higher order similarity solutions can be solved simply by direct integration.

The convergence of infinite series solutions remains as a problem and expects further study. The approximate symmetry reduction approach can be used to search for similar results of other perturbed nonlinear differential equations and it is worthwhile to summarize a general principle for the perturbed nonlinear differential equations holding analogous results.

Acknowledgments

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundations of China (Nos. 10735030, 10475055, 10675065 and 90503006), National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program 2007CB814800) and PCSIRT (IRT0734), the Research Fund of Postdoctoral of China (No. 20070410727) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20070248120).

-
- [1] P. J. Olver, Applications of Lie Group to Differential Equations, second ed., Graduate Texts of Mathematics, Vol. 107, Springer, New York, 1993.
 - [2] G. W. Bluman and J. D. Cole, Similarity Methods for Differential Equations, Appl. Math. Sci. Vol. 13, Springer, Berlin, 1974.
 - [3] G. W. Bluman and S. Kumei, Symmetries and Differential Equations, Appl. Math. Sci. Vol. 81, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
 - [4] J. D. Cole, Perturbation Methods in Applied Mathematics, Blaisdell Publishing Company, Waltham Massachusetts, 1968.
 - [5] M. Van Dyke, Perturbation methods in fluid mechanics, CA: Parabolic Press, Stanford, 1975.
 - [6] A. H. Nayfeh, Perturbation Methods, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2000.
 - [7] V. A. Baikov, R. K. Gazizov, and N. H. Ibragimov, Approximate symmetries of equations with a small parameter, Mat. Sb. 136: 435 (1988) (English Transl. in: Math. USSR Sb. 64: 427 (1989)).
 - [8] V. A. Baikov, R. K. Gazizov, and N. H. Ibragimov, Approximate transformation groups and deformations of symmetry Lie algebras, In: N. H. Ibragimov (ed.), CRC Handbook of Lie Group Analysis of Differential Equations, Vol. 3, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 1996, Chapter 2.
 - [9] W. I. Fushchich and W. M. Shtelen, On approximate symmetry and approximate solutions of the nonlinear wave equation with a small parameter, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22: L887 (1989).
 - [10] M. Pakdemirli, M. Yurusoy and I. T. Dolapci, Comparison of Approximate Symmetry Methods for Differential Equations, Acta. Appl. Math. 80: 243 (2004).
 - [11] R. Wiltshire, Two approaches to the calculation of approximate symmetry exemplified using a system of advection-diffusion equations, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 197: 287 (2006).
 - [12] R. S. Johnson, A nonlinear equation incorporating damping and dispersion, J. Fluid Mech. 42: 49 (1970).
 - [13] L. van Wijngaarden, On the motion of gas bubbles in a perfect fluid, Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech. 4: 369 (1972).
 - [14] G. Gao, A theory of interaction between dissipation and dispersion of turbulence, Sci Sinica (Ser A) 28: 616 (1985).

- [15] S. D. Liu and S. K. Liu, KdV-Burgers equation modeling of turbulence, *Sci Sinica (Ser A)* 35: 576 (1992).
- [16] W. Malfliet and W. Hereman, The tanh method: II. Perturbation technique for conservative systems, *Phys. Scr.* 54: 569 (1996).
- [17] T. R. Marchant and N. F. Smyth, Pulse evolution for marangoni-bénard convection, *Math. Comput. Model.* 28: 45 (1998).
- [18] M. A. Allen and G. Rowlands, A solitary-wave solution to a perturbed KdV equation, *J. Plasma Phys.* 64: 475 (2000).
- [19] S. Y. Lou, Symmetry analysis and exact solutions of the 2+1 dimensional sine-Gordon system, *J. Math. Phys.* 41: 6509 (2000).